

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT  
ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY  
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Case No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR

MDL No. 3047

This Document Relates to:

*F.S. filed on behalf of minor R.S. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-04701;

*A.W. on behalf of A.M. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-06726;

*T.P. on behalf of M.P. v. Snap Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-06730;

*T.P. on behalf of L.P. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al.*, 4:24-cv-06731;

*S.G., filed on behalf of minor A.G. v. ByteDance Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07429;

*L.S. individually and on behalf of S.T. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07630;

*K.C. and K.B. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07663;

*C.J. and K.J. v. Google LLC et al*, 4:24-cv-07664;

*S.C. and Z.C. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07665;

*L.C. and B.C. v. TikTok, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS' TWELFTH CONSOLIDATED  
EX PARTE APPLICATION AND  
APPOINTING GUARDIANS AD LITEM**

1 07898;

2 *S.B. and A.S. v. TikTok, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-  
07900;

3 *R.D. and B.D. v. TikTok, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-  
4 07902;

5 *R.C. individually and on behalf of A.C. v.*  
6 *Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07907;

7 *C.J., individually and on behalf of K.J. v.*  
8 *Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07905;

9 *F.D. and S.D. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*,  
10 4:24-cv-08626;

11 *J.P. and A.B. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*,  
12 4:24-cv-08628;

13 *P.K. and K.K. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*,  
14 4:24-cv-08629;

15 *L.S. individually and on behalf of S.L. v.*  
16 *Google LLC et al*, 4:24-cv-08639;

17 *M.P. individually and on behalf of E.P. v.*  
18 *Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08645;

19 *S.W. and Z.T. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*,  
20 4:24-cv-08648;

21 *J.W. individually and on behalf of M.B. v.*  
22 *Snap, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08651;

23 *I.W. and E.W. v. Snap, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-  
24 08653;

25 *J.T. and A.M. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*,  
26 4:24-cv-08670;

27 *D.C. and D.W. v. Google LLC et al*, 4:24-cv-  
28 08686;

*R.C. individually and on behalf of C.R. v.*  
*Google LLC et al*, 4:24-cv-08687;

*T.G. individually and on behalf of J.D. v.*  
*Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08693;

*H.C., by and through Amber Humphrey,*  
3:24-cv-08732;

*A.O. and A.S. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*,

1 4:24-cv-08813;

2 *D.G. and F.G. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al,*  
3 4:24-cv-08817;

4 *P.L. and S.L. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al,*  
5 4:24-cv-08819;

6 *F.A. and L.G. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al,*  
7 4:24-cv-08882;

8 *HM and GM v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al,*  
9 4:24-cv-08884.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

The Court is in receipt of Plaintiffs' Twelfth *Ex Parte* Application for Appointment of Guardians *Ad Litem* (hereinafter, "Twelfth *Ex Parte* Application").

Pursuant to this Court' Order Regarding Appointment of Guardians *Ad Litem* (ECF No. 122), *Ex Parte* Applications for Appointment of Guardians *Ad Litem* ("Applications") submitted by parents and/or legal guardians were deemed presumptively approved upon filing because there was no apparent conflict between the applicants' parental responsibility and their obligation to assist the Court in "achieving a just and speedy determination of the action." ECF No. 122 ¶ 4 (citing *J.M. v. Liberty Union High Sch. Dist.*, No. 16-cv-05225-LB, 2016 WL 4942999, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Sept.16, 2016)).

On December 23, 2024, Applications were submitted by the parents and/or legal guardians to serve as guardians *ad litem* for the individual minor plaintiffs in the following cases:

- *F.S. filed on behalf of minor R.S. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-04701 (Exhibit 1);
- *A.W. on behalf of A.M. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-06726 (Exhibit 2);
- *T.P. on behalf of M.P. v. Snap Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-06730 (Exhibit 3);
- *T.P. on behalf of L.P. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al.*, 4:24-cv-06731 (Exhibit 4);
- *S.G., filed on behalf of minor A.G. v. ByteDance Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07429 (Exhibit 5);
- *L.S. individually and on behalf of S.T. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07630 (Exhibit 6);
- *K.C. and K.B. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07663 (Exhibit 7);
- *C.J. and K.J. v. Google LLC et al*, 4:24-cv-07664 (Exhibit 8);
- *S.C. and Z.C. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07665 (Exhibit 9);
- *L.C. and B.C. v. TikTok, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07898 (Exhibit 10);
- *S.B. and A.S. v. TikTok, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07900 (Exhibit 11);
- *R.D. and B.D. v. TikTok, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07902 (Exhibit 12);

- 1 • *R.C. individually and on behalf of A.C. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07907  
2 (Exhibit 13);
- 3 • *C.J., individually and on behalf of K.J. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07905  
4 (Exhibit 14);
- 5 • *F.D. and S.D. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08626 (Exhibit 15);
- 6 • *J.P. and A.B. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08628 (Exhibit 16);
- 7 • *P.K. and K.K. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08629 (Exhibit 17);
- 8 • *L.S. individually and on behalf of S.L. v. Google LLC et al*, 4:24-cv-08639 (Exhibit 18);
- 9 • *M.P. individually and on behalf of E.P. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08645  
10 (Exhibit 19);
- 11 • *S.W. and Z.T. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08648 (Exhibit 20);
- 12 • *J.W. individually and on behalf of M.B. v. Snap, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08651 (Exhibit 21);
- 13 • *I.W. and E.W. v. Snap, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08653 (Exhibit 22);
- 14 • *J.T. and A.M. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08670 (Exhibit 23);
- 15 • *D.C. and D.W. v. Google LLC et al*, 4:24-cv-08686 (Exhibit 24);
- 16 • *R.C. individually and on behalf of C.R. v. Google LLC et al*, 4:24-cv-08687 (Exhibit 25);
- 17 • *T.G. individually and on behalf of J.D. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08693  
18 (Exhibit 26);
- 19 • *H.C., by and through Amber Humphrey*, 3:24-cv-08732 (Exhibit 27);
- 20 • *A.O. and A.S. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08813 (Exhibit 28);
- 21 • *D.G. and F.G. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08817 (Exhibit 29);
- 22 • *P.L. and S.L. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08819 (Exhibit 30);
- 23 • *F.A. and L.G. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08882 (Exhibit 31);
- 24 • *HM and GM v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08884 (Exhibit 32).

1 Pursuant to this Court's Order Regarding Appointments of Guardian *Ad Litem*, the  
2 Court's presumptive approval of these Applications will become final if no objections are filed  
3 within fifteen (15) days of the filing of Plaintiffs' Twelfth *Ex Parte* Application. ECF No.122 ¶5.

4 Having received no objections on or before January 7, 2024, which is the fifteenth day  
5 after the filing of Plaintiffs' Twelfth *Ex Parte* Application, and good cause appearing, it is hereby  
6 ordered that that the applicants identified in the Applications for the cases listed above are  
7 appointed as guardians *ad litem* for the minor plaintiffs in those actions for the purposes of this  
8 litigation. These appointments, as well as all prior appointments of guardians ad litem in this case,  
9 shall remain in effect until the minor reaches the age of majority.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

12 \_\_\_\_\_  
13 Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers  
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28